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Three years after the 1997 R/UDAT Revisited conference gave Austin a short list of priority projects, the community reconvened to review Downtown’s progress. During the half-day conference, participants from all areas and sectors of Austin gathered to assess the state of Downtown development, in terms of both achievements and challenges. In the opening session, leaders of each of the three private sponsoring organizations welcomed the participants and explained why their organizations have a stake in creating a Great Downtown. Speaking for the Austin Chapter of the American Institute of Architects, William Masingill reviewed the AIA R/UDAT program. Responding to requests from the Austin community, the AIA assembled a Regional/Urban Design Assistance Team (R/UDAT) in 1991, analyzed the problems of Downtown Austin and made recommendations on how to revitalize Downtown. (A R/UDAT team is not compensated for its work and, in addition, agrees to forego any commissions from projects arising as a result of R/UDAT recommendations.) After the 1991 team finished its work, a community group worked for a year to develop an action plan—A Call to Action. Although some steps were taken over the next six years, Downtown Austin was still in desperate need of development in 1997, when the team was brought back for R/UDAT Revisited. Since the team felt that the original recommendations were still, for the most part, applicable they decided to develop a short list of “do these first” projects. These projects were reviewed in the R/UDAT Review 2000 conference on December 6, 2000.

Mayor Jocelyn Watson reviewed each of the five priority projects identified in the 1997 R/UDAT Revisited report and evaluated Austin’s progress on each one. Afterwards, in five breakout sessions, community leaders led discussions on achievements and challenges. At lunch, participants listened to two of the original R/UDAT team members on their perspective on Downtown Austin. The results of all of these meetings are presented in this report.
First, I wish to thank Chuck Davis and Tom Gougeon for joining us today. It is important to get an outsider’s perspective. We often think of ourselves as quite pretty and believe we know it all. And it is nice to have someone tell us that is absolutely true! Really, I am always glad to welcome Chuck and Tom to our city. They were here in 1991 and in 1997 and their work has given us a roadmap to follow which has proven instrumental in our progress.

I would also like to thank the sponsors: the Downtown Austin Alliance, the Austin Chapter of the American Institute of Architects, and the Greater Austin Chamber of Commerce, who along with the City are responsible for this event. There is a lot of work that goes into putting this on, and I want to thank everyone involved for the hours put in before and after this conference.

In 1991, the first RUDAT led to the Community’s vision of Downtown. Over the next six years, we took several organizational steps toward that vision, most notably the start of the Downtown Austin Alliance funded by a Public Improvement District. But we were not able to get the momentum we needed to move forward.

In 1997, RUDAT Revisited identified five priority projects with these characteristics:

- High potential for success
- Leverage to produce spin-off results
- Tangible impacts
- Acceptable public cost

These five projects were as follows:

1) Mixed-use residential development on the City’s waterfront site, with civic and public uses including a bonafide City Hall. The site might be expanded to include properties currently owned by the State that are expected to be available in the near future.

2) Convention Center expansion supporting tourism and entertainment.

3) Transit links including light rail and Great Streets.

4) Water and Shoal Creek areas should include both residential and public uses. Creek belt projects need to be completed and maintained.

5) Performing Arts Complex at the Palmer Auditorium site with the condition of private sector funding.

That was 1997—all these projects had not even started.

Three years later, MUCH has happened. So much, in fact, that we need to pause and take stock of our achievements, assess the remaining challenges, and remember why we're doing this.

But first, let's look at the progress we have made since RUDAT's last visit and the challenges they set for us.

1. The City’s waterfront site is no longer the most forlorn patch of Downtown. This six block area will include a new City Hall, three CSC buildings with 3500 new Downtown employees, two blocks of residential development, street-level retail, and nearby the new Austin Museum of Art and an Intel software research facility.

2. The Convention Center expansion is on time, on budget, and hopefully will be joined by the Convention Center Hotel project.

I'd give this one an A. Once we work out all the details with the hotel, I reserve the right to change this to an A+.

3. Transit links—Well, light rail failed by a narrow margin. Other Downtown transit-Dillos, buses, and vanpools—have been improved. Great Streets has changed from an idea to a funded program; the Great Streets Master Plan currently being developed will guide the implementation phase. The Downtown Access and Mobility Plan is being developed in tandem with the Great Streets plan.

Austin is an A+ for creating, in a very short time period, a Downtown Digital District with all the elements of a Great Downtown.
Well, folks, I think we get an A for effort, but not more than a B-minus for product.

4. We have a Shoal Creek Greenways Master Plan and there are three major residential projects underway along the Creek. Willer Creek now has a Greenways Master Plan, funded by public and private contributions. Unfortunately we are facing a $25 million shortfall in the funding necessary for the Willer Creek flood control tunnel. We’re working on that. However, there is a tremendous potential jumpstart to creek improvements pending an agreement with the company that is the next piece in the Downtown Digital District—Vignette.

So this one is still a work in progress.

5. The Long Center for the Performing Arts is a shining example of Austin’s potential when we come together as a community. This is the ideal public/private partnership. The City has supplied the property and the community has stepped up and shown its overwhelming and generous support for the performing arts.

Definitely an A+.

Overall, that makes 3 A’s, one B-minus, and one incomplete. Not too bad!

And beyond reviewing the R/UDAT Five, we can look at a Downtown that will more than double its square footage in the next five years.

Does this mean that we’re done? That Downtown no longer needs any attention?

Absolutely not.

In the five sessions this morning, community leaders will review our achievements and, with the help of the community, define the remaining challenges. We all know that there are challenges for:

- Downtown Mobility, including Great Streets
- Downtown Environment, natural, built, and cultural, especially our Greenways
- Downtown Linkages, in that a healthy Downtown depends on thriving adjacent neighborhoods
- Downtown Smart Growth, because the only way to protect our quality of life during this time of very rapid growth is to channel the growth to Downtown
- Downtown Community, i.e., how we deal with those who need our help the most.

I look forward to the results of those sessions.

I’d like to take a moment and remember why we are doing this. Why the community came together in R/UDAT and R/UDAT Revisited. Why the Council and the people of Austin have worked so hard for environmental preservation, incentives for development to locate Downtown, and improved mobility.
Downtown belongs to all of us. It is the economic engine that finances a large part of our quality of life. It is the historic and cultural heart of our City and indeed, our region. It is the social heart, the place where all of Austin comes together, as did 200,000 of us last New Year’s Eve. When other people think of Austin, they think of the State Capitol, the University of Texas, and 6th Street. These are all Downtown.

We cannot afford to have less than a Great Downtown. We owe it to our taxpayers, to our children, and frankly to ourselves as Austinites, to make it a Great Downtown.

And we’re not there yet. One swallow doesn’t make a summer and not even a dozen projects make a Great Downtown.

The R/UDAT team identified five priority projects for Austin in 1997. These were the DO-IT-NOW projects and not a substitute for all the other recommendations of the previous R/UDAT work. I would encourage you to go back and look at those recommendations.

This is the beginning of the Austin century if we fulfill our promises.

And with your participation and support, we will.
Overview

After the morning meetings, the participants were treated to remarks by two members of the original R/UDAT team, Chuck Davis and Tom Gougeon. Their observations provide the context for the results of all of the sessions. The following is a summary of their remarks, not a transcript.

Downtown Austin is at the beginning of a renaissance. Since the 1997 R/UDAT Revisited, a stunning array of projects have been launched. The Central Austin Emerging Projects map shows 51 separate projects in some stage of planning or construction in the fall of 2000. Downtown is no longer a neglected place needing projects to stimulate development. But it is still a long way from being finished. At this time, there are two urgent needs for Downtown to stay on track.

First, Downtown needs to focus on the overall quality of the pedestrian experience. Both consultants were very clear that the most important priority now is improving the pedestrian environment. All kinds of wonderful projects are in the pipeline, but the fabric weaving those projects into a total experience is lacking. Great Streets has been a program with a few successes; as of now it needs to become the priority program that must succeed.

Downtown environments create value because of the density of activity. If the environment tends to inhibit pedestrian activity, then there will be lower traffic, sales, attendance, etc. This translates into less value for land and commercial and residential space than would exist with a better pedestrian environment.

What makes a great street? Many factors come into play but the essence is that a great street is a place, not a road. People choose to be in the public space because it is attractive rather than because they have no alternative. A great street has shade, people-friendly storefronts, cafes, and a variety of things to see and do. A great street is designed with materials and objects chosen to support the function of the street.

In Austin, Congress Avenue is very close to being a great street, but most of Downtown has increasingly beautiful buildings in an increasingly unsatisfactory public streetscape.

The street and pedestrian environment matter because many of the benefits of attracting activity and users to Downtown are only fully realized if they become pedestrians. If the new workers, visitors, convention delegates, and residents don’t use Downtown on foot, then the potential for increased economic activity is limited. So it is not just a design concern; it is a basic economic concern.
It is particularly important to understand that retail streets are different than any other type of street. A street intended to support significant retail activity has to have certain characteristics. If it lacks them, it will not work, no matter how much retail space is created. Any place that is supposed to be a retail environment (e.g., 2nd Street) needs special attention. It will fail if it is too wide, a street with relatively high traffic speeds, has too limited a sidewalk, incorporates the wrong dimensions and scale at the street level, leaves big holes or gaps in the street experience, provides no parking on-street, or has too few amenities.

Second, Downtown traffic and parking must be managed so as not to degrade the pedestrian experience. Downtown streets need to be calmer; the recommendation for two-way streets was made in 1991, again in 1997, and repeated in this conference. Downtown development in the short run may need parking; in the longer run, Downtown growth depends on alternative means of getting employees in and out of Downtown. Although other areas in the region may be able to continue growing without good transit, Downtown cannot. More efficient bus service can postpone the need for light rail, but at some point, Downtown growth will require rail transit. And transit will also become a source of long-term competitive advantage.

In the long run, when all employment centers in the region have traffic and access problems, public transit can handle 30-40% or more of the work trips to Downtown. The competing suburban sites will never get to the levels of ridership which will start to matter to employers as congestion increases. Downtown property owners and employers have a huge stake in the transit issue.

Another transportation project that would have major benefits for Downtown is the reconstruction of IH-35. When TxDOT reconstructs IH-35, the section in Downtown needs to be below grade. Removing the barrier between Downtown and East Austin and creating possible development sites in the air rights over the new highway are two positive benefits of lowering the freeway. Austin should join the growing list of cities that have civilized their Downtown freeways by depressing them.

Assuming that the City succeeds in creating Great Streets, there are a few notable projects that Austin needs. These include a new Downtown public library and a destination museum at the Seaholm power plant site. Austin cannot claim to be a great Downtown without a central library. In other cities, these facilities are lively centers of urban activity. Seaholm is the kind of potential site that all cities love to have, although it is always difficult to choose and fund a really wonderful museum.

Downtown Austin has made amazing progress in three short years. Downtown problems have shifted to the transitional problems of success—construction, detours, etc. Some may think too much has been done and that Downtown no longer needs attention. But this is only a great beginning and not even the end of the beginning.

Three years from now Austin should have as much news, as many exciting things in progress, and as much reason to hold a RUDAT Review as it does today.
## Sessions

### Downtown Mobility
- Michael Aulick
- Butch Babineaux
- Gus Garcia
- Charlie Betts, coordinator

### Downtown Environment
- Ben Bentzin
- Bridg Shea
- Larry Speck
- Lucy Buck, coordinator

### Downtown Linkages
- Carol Barrett
- Perry Lorenz
- Lori Renteria
- Thais Austin, coordinator

### Downtown Smart Growth
- Kent Collins
- Ross Garber
- Austan Librach
- Mike Knox, coordinator

### Downtown Community
- Fred Butler
- Stacey Shorter
- Beverly Silas
- John Nyfeler, coordinator
Achievements

• Dillo restructuring
• Airport bus
• Transportation bonds passed in 1998 and 2000
• Lamar Pedestrian/Bicycle Bridge (spring 2001)
• Crosstown Bikeway
• Downtown Access and Mobility Plan
• Downtown Parking Study
• Great Streets Master Plan

Challenges

• Implementing plans/Using results of studies
• Building Great Streets
• Transit–bus and rail
• Construction-related congestion
• Infrastructure in the public right-of-way
• Parking
• Traffic
• Western Access
• Lack of bicycle lanes
• Great Streets Master Plan should have come before the Downtown Access and Mobility Plan
• Getting over the one person/one car phenomenon
• Nongeographic vision for mobility/access
• Commuter Rail

Discussion

Downtown in the Region—Transportation Planning

The Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) is the entity charged with long range transportation planning for the Austin metropolitan area. Although there has been a substantial increase in Downtown residents, the sheer size of the employment base means that further development depends on an efficient system of getting people to and from Downtown. Planning that system depends on good forecasts of employment and population. In the most recent round of estimates, CAMPO's forecast for the year 2025 shows a growth of about 13,000 employees from 1997, the base year. But based on development projects in the CBD pipeline, it seems that at least that many will be added in the next 5 to 10 years. Revising these estimates is an important step in ensuring that Downtown access needs are included in the planning process.

In the current long-range plan, there are four major projects that will significantly impact Downtown. These are:

• IH-35 HOV lanes and main lanes—construction to start in 2007 or later.
• Loop 1/US 183 HOV lanes—construction to start in 2008 or later.
• SH 130—construction to start by 2002 pending right of way acquisition.
• Light rail between north-central Austin and Downtown—on hold.

Although it is clear to CAMPO that light rail is a critical element in the development of an efficient transportation system, the voting public narrowly defeated the light rail proposition on November 7, 2000. For the region as a whole and especially for Downtown, light rail's importance as part of Austin's future transportation system needs to be better communicated. Clarifying what light rail would actually mean for the Austin area is a challenge for the community in which CAMPO plays a critical role.

Light rail's initial line was proposed to relieve traffic in the dense corridor between IH-35 and Loop 1. The importance of this corridor is shown by a CAMPO survey in 1997 that found 50% of all commuters in the Austin metropolitan area traveling either on IH-35 or Loop 1 during rush hours. Light rail, as HOV lanes, could alleviate the increased congestion generated by further development. The 1997 survey also found that auto drivers were more likely to try passenger rail (25%) than buses (50%) or bicycles (33%), suggesting that light rail could succeed where increased bus service could not.

Light rail is not a panacea; the growth in the Austin metropolitan area means that traffic congestion will increase even if all of the planned projects are built in a timely manner. However, the increase in congestion can be smaller, with appropriate infrastructure improvements or larger, without these improvements.
Comprehensive Downtown Parking Study

Wilbur Smith Associates was hired by the City to study parking issues for Downtown, as well as two adjacent commercial areas. In the Spring 2000 inventory 38,000 total parking spaces were counted in Downtown, excluding the estates 10,000 spaces. The consultants will present a draft to the City Council in February 2001, but the final report will be issued with the Downtown Access and Mobility Plan. Parking needs depend on many factors including traffic congestion and transit use; final recommendations on Downtown parking need to be coordinated with recommendations on overall mobility.

Downtown Access and Mobility Plan

The DAMP is evaluating intersection traffic operations and examining future traffic improvement needs. In this, the consultants will include all modes of transportation: auto, transit, pedestrian, and bicycles. The study will focus on the impacts of proposed development through 2005 and develop a traffic simulation model (COR-SIM) for use in this study and later by City staff. The study area is bound by 15th Street on the north, IH-35 on the east, Barton Springs/Riverside Drive on the south, and Lamar on the west. The study will also consider connections to Loop 1 at Enfield, 9th/6th Streets, and Barton Springs Road. The 17-month project is to be completed in July 2001.

Significant findings to date include:

- The most heavily traveled roadways (over 3000 vehicles per hour during peak periods) are Cesar Chavez, Lamar, Guadalupe/Lavaca Streets, 9th/6th Streets.
- Downtown has over 40 bus routes, including Dillos, using over 200 bus stops. Major transit routes include Congress Avenue, Colorado and Brazos Streets, 5th and 6th Streets, and 11th Street.
- Pedestrian traffic is concentrated along 2nd, 5th, and 6th Streets near Congress Avenue; there are 300-800 pedestrians per hour during peak car-traffic hours.
- Pedestrian traffic is concentrated along 2nd, 5th, and 6th Streets near Congress Avenue; there are 300-800 pedestrians per hour during peak car-traffic hours.
- Intersections along Barton Springs have the highest concentration of bicycle activity (45 per hour at Barton Springs/Congress during peak car-traffic hours).
- Peak periods for car traffic are between 7:30 AM and 4:45 PM.
- During these peak times, the average speed for vehicles in Downtown is 12 mph.
- During peak hours, 60% of the intersections operate at poor levels of service as defined by traffic engineering standards.
- During peak times, 64 intersection approaches experience queues/back-ups of 15 or more vehicles. (The study includes 200 intersections; if both streets were two-way streets, there would be four intersection approaches for each intersection.)

Over the next five years, at least 30 emerging projects will come online in Downtown. This is projected to mean a total of 40,000 vehicle trips per day entering Downtown during the morning peak hour. This is 21% more than today. Based on testing three alternatives using the COR-SIM model, the consultants will make recommendations for long-term traffic improvements.
Great Streets Master Plan

The goal of the Great Streets Master Plan is to provide more access for the pedestrian through wider sidewalks, two-way streets, clearly identified crosswalks, universal parallel parking, etc. The City Council has mandated its priorities in the following order: pedestrian, bicycle, transit, and vehicle. There will be a Great Streets alternative tested by the CORSIM model in the DAMP. The final recommendations of the Great Streets Master Plan and the DAMP will need to be coordinated or mediated through policy decisions by the City Council.

Lessons Learned From the Light Rail Campaign

The narrow defeat of light rail in the November election is a problem for Downtown vitality. What went wrong? Why didn't Austin, with its strong commitment to the environment, endorse the proposed transit system? Several reasons have emerged from post-election analysis. Proponents did not have an effective, clear message. Opponents had. The benefits of light rail, such as improved air quality, were not communicated. The message to East Austin was not clear, especially in the area of economic development benefits. South Congress merchant thought rail would have a negative effect on them. A number of voters still mistrust Capital Metro, even though the agency has improved dramatically in the past two years. Because the federally mandated process was not complete, the actual routes and stations of the proposed system were not available before the vote. Next time, proponents should emphasize long-term benefits, not only the next 10-20 years. Those who favor light rail should send a clear, concise message to neighborhoods, including the University, State, and Downtown, as to how the proposed system benefits them.

Parking and Transit

Austin's Comprehensive Downtown Parking Study shows that Downtown Austin has a parking ratio of 1.5 employees per parking space. For comparison, Houston's ratio is 2.1. San Antonio has a ratio of 1.1, while Toronto manages 5.2. The City Council should establish a target parking ratio based on both parking needs of Downtown employees and on the city's ability to sustain the influx of vehicles.

Another parking issue is strictly economic. While parking structures are valued at about $50/SF, office space can be as high as $250/SF. If every new office structure builds a half block parking garage, significant tax base is lost for the City. And since Downtown only requires about 20 cents of City of Austin services for every tax dollar it raises, it is clear that the entire City would benefit from maximum use of Downtown land.

Summary

All of Austin's mobility issues have a direct effect on Downtown's continued economic vitality. Downtown cannot continue to grow without making substantial changes to its current levels of parking, transit, and pedestrian-oriented streets. These three issues are interrelated and of vital importance.
What needs to be done in the next 3 years?

- Encourage commuting by some method other than driving alone, including transit, carpools, vanpools, cycling, etc.
- Enhance the pedestrian environment Downtown.
- Choose transit streets and Great Streets, and link the pedestrian-oriented streets to transit.
- Consider potential sites for a transit center in and adjacent to Downtown.
- Create a parking district to create an income stream and to ease the burden currently being carried by developers.
- Encourage shared parking.
# Downtown Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural</th>
<th>Built</th>
<th>Cultural</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Achievements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoal Creek Greenways Master Plan</td>
<td>CityHill Downtown Digital District</td>
<td>Long Performing Arts Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waller Creek Greenways Master Plan</td>
<td>Residential projects—urban lofts, apartments</td>
<td>Austin Museum of Art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improved trails</td>
<td>CamAdvisors, Intel, other projects</td>
<td>Bob Bullock Texas History Museum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 Bond proposition to buy environmentally sensitive land</td>
<td>Convention Center expansion</td>
<td>Mexican American Cultural Center funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998 Bond proposition supporting building a flood control tunnel for Waller Creek</td>
<td>Restorations/Renovations, eg., Driskill and Stephen F. Austin Hotels</td>
<td>George Washington Carver Museum funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart Growth Initiative</td>
<td>Infill projects</td>
<td>Texas Fine Arts Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased attention to Downtown parks</td>
<td>Vignette</td>
<td>Mexic-Arte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrian/Bicycle bridge</td>
<td>More mixed use projects</td>
<td>Austin Lyric Opera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Barton Museum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Challenges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waller Creek flood control</td>
<td>Great Streets</td>
<td>Downtown home for Ballet Austin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenways design standards, landscaping and maintenance</td>
<td>Sustainable use of parks and other public spaces programming</td>
<td>Public art</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy Street development</td>
<td>Downtown retail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway/connections to Downtown</td>
<td>New central library</td>
<td>Further development of Downtown cultural district</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

Natural Environment

Usually when we speak of the natural environment of Downtown, we usually mean the green spaces, including the Willer and Shoal Creek greenways, the parks, and the green pockets. Downtown has no natural areas in the same sense that all of Downtown has been shaped by human activities. Even the creek areas reflect the effects of human intervention, especially the effects of developments upstream that have drastically altered the flood patterns of the creeks.

Downtown green spaces are natural in that they contain plants and animals. They provide respite from the hard-scape of the built environment. The two Downtown creeks, currently rather neglected spaces, provide significant potential for greenways. Both Shoal and Willer Creeks now have Greenways Master Plans, providing guidelines for urban development compatible with healthy creeks. The plans also delineate the amenities necessary to create user-friendly spaces. Both creeks now need the investments, both public and private, to implement these plans.

Treasuring Downtown green areas is part of the whole Austin strategy of Smart Growth, in that an important part of enticing development is the level of amenities available, including recreational opportunities. Town Lake is a major Downtown amenity as well as the center of Austin. Downtown can and should extend the green space of the Town Lake greenbelt into more of Downtown.

Another remarkable transformation in Downtown is the rapid change in the residential quality of Downtown. New apartments and town homes have been occupied as soon as they were finished. Downtown has become a very cool place to live. Unlikely spaces, such as the rooftops of office buildings and Congress Avenue historic storefronts, are being converted to residential use.

Over the next few years, the area around lower Shoal Creek will become a neighborhood with a variety of housing and retail options available within a few blocks. All of Downtown benefits from the increase in residents as people who live in an area take ownership of the public areas.

Built Environment

In the last three years, an amazing number of changes have happened in the built environment of Downtown. Large institutional buildings, like the Convention Center expansion, are at one end of the scale. Smaller projects are all over Downtown. Indeed, one of the remarkable changes in Downtown in the past three years is the infill movement, as spaces that were unused or underused have been transformed into fives of activity.

Mixed-use projects are appearing in Downtown. Office buildings now have ground floor retail spaces oriented to the street. Downtown Design Guidelines adopted by the Council show developers how to create projects that are parts of a Great Downtown. The Great Streets program offers the promise of creating a pedestrian-friendly urban environment. And everyone, however he or she comes to Downtown, is a pedestrian while here.

R/UDAT REVIEW 2000
Besides the new construction, both renovations and restorations are contributing to the Downtown renaissance. Our two historic hotels, the Driskill and the InterContinental Stephen F. Austin, have won awards for their loving and accurate restorations. Various post-war office buildings, such as 1011 San Jacinto and the PerryBrooks, have taken on new life in the New Economy. The Schneider Building will form part of the Downtown Digital District as part of the CSC project.

With all of the good news, there are still some big challenges. The first is to replace the current Downtown Post Office with a facility that works in Downtown. The current facility takes up a whole city block in a car-oriented, pedestrian-hostile manner that violates all principles of good urban design. Downtown needs a Post Office that accommodates people who walk to pick up their mail, who walk to post a package and who walk to mail letters.

Another major deficiency is the lack of a great central library. The current facility is outdated and inadequate for a city the size of Austin. As we move into the next millennium, Austin needs to build a central library that reflects a sense of place and meets the needs of Austin’s citizens.

In order to make the leap between a Downtown with a lot of good buildings and a Great Downtown, Austin needs to fill in the gaps and knit the projects together. The public spaces need to be at least as good as the private buildings. Great Streets should be built to make the area in and around and between the buildings places for people. As Downtown develops distinct districts, most recently the Downtown Digital District, the streetscapes need to support each district’s identity.

Downtown parks need to become spaces where people want to be, not just green lawns to observe from passing cars. Currently Downtown’s historic parks are rarely used. Brush Square, one of the four original parks in the Waller plan, should be restored. The fire station and parking lot need to be relocated and this park reclaimed.

The built environment of Downtown Austin has changed dramatically and, for the most part, in a positive direction. It’s a good beginning towards building a great Downtown.
Cultural Environment

Archaeologists focus on technology and arts when evaluating a society. High tech employees demand a diverse and culturally active community. In Austin, the arts area key attraction for Downtown. Civic pride in a community, certainly in Austin, is a reflection of a healthy arts community. Performing and visual arts have seen major changes in the past three years:

- The Texas Fine Arts Association develops contemporary visual arts in Texas, providing services, exhibitions, and exposure to collectors. TFAA opened the Jones Center for Contemporary Art on Congress Avenue in November 1998. Besides administrative space, the center contains 15,000 SF of exhibit space.

- The Mexic-Arte Museum is dedicated to visual and multi-disciplinary Latino/Mexican art. In an agreement between the museum, the City of Austin, and the Block 42 Partners, the museum’s location at 419 Congress Avenue is secure for at least 25 years.

- Three major museums are in various stages of completion. The Bob Bullock State History Museum celebrates Texas history with almost 50,000 SF of exhibit space, as well as an IMAX theater. It will open in 2003. The Austin Museum of Art has unveiled the Gluckman/Mayer design for a 145,000 SF project on a wholesaled lot fronting Republic Square; this museum is expected to open in 2003. The University of Texas is planning a 150,000 SF Blanton Museum of Art, to open in 2003.

- The Mexican American Cultural Center has been given six acres on Town Lake to develop a center for the preservation and enrichment of Mexican American cultural arts. The Center is projected to open in 2003.

- And the largest, most impressive project of all is the Long Center for the Performing Arts, scheduled to open in summer 2004. The Long Center will be the performance home for Austin’s Ballet, Opera, and Symphony groups, as well as being available for use by other performing arts groups. The Austin Lyric Opera Center and music school opened in February 2000 in a location across the street from the Long Center. The Lake/Flato design includes rehearsal facilities, recital hall, classrooms, studios, multimedia lab, and offices.

The explosion in Downtown cultural facilities in the past three years is almost incredible. The remaining challenge is to operate and maintain all of these wonderful new facilities. Austin’s cultural environment is healthy; it could get all the way to thriving if it stays on this trajectory.

Summary

Downtown’s environment—natural, built, and cultural—is in the midst of transformation. The achievements of the past three years are impressive. The challenges, especially for the Willer and Shoal Creek Greenways, are substantial. Whether Downtown’s green spaces achieve the same quality and diversity as its built spaces remains to be seen. In each area, there are significant challenges remaining.

What needs to be done in the next 3 years?

- Create urban greenways for both Shoal Creek and Willer Creek
- Build Great Streets
- Decide and start on Seaholm reuse
- Increase public art and arts programming
- Restore Brush Square
- Plan a better Downtown post office
Downtown Linkages

Achievements

• East Austin Dillo Route
• Austin Steam Train at Plaza Saltillo
• Holiday lights on East 6th to Chicon
• East Cesar Chavez Plan for mixed use
• New street lights on East Cesar Chavez
• Willow/Spence Historic District Study
• Downtown Rangers supported Eastside Rangers Patrol pilot project
• Neighborhood planning/neighborhood plans in adjacent neighborhoods
• Austin Revitalization Authority
• Theodore Hershberg’s “The Case for Regionalism”
• Waller Creek stakeholders group
• IH-35 task force
• Parking study task force

• Improved Dillo linkages to adjacent neighborhoods
• Greenway/Hike and Bike alternative ways to get through town
• Improved pedestrian access to Waller Creek

Challenges

• Light rail election failure
• Plaza Saltillo not being used for Dillo/Charter buses
• Redesign of IH-35 still just a dream
• Red River becoming a Great Street
• Traffic/pedestrian impact of Downtown projects on adjacent neighborhoods
• Neighborhood preservation/gentrification issues
• Linkages to UT, State Capitol Complex

Discussion

Many of the problems are seen in terms of physical linkages between Downtown and adjacent neighborhoods. These include streets, transit facilities, greenways, and signage. Increased mobility between Downtown and adjacent neighborhoods was seen as equally important with increased communications. Especially with the development of neighborhood plans, Downtown and its adjacent neighborhoods need to find ways to communicate informally as well as interacting through the formal development review process.

The importance of educating major Downtown employers to alternative means of commuting was noted. Leadership from the Austin City Council is also important. The City can lead by example as well as by including commuting strategies in its negotiations with Vagate. Adjacent neighborhoods benefit from decreased traffic as well as enhanced pedestrian and transit linkages. Participants discussed the issues surrounding East/West mobility and the need to link East Austin with Downtown. One suggestion was to extend the Dillo service further into East Austin. Another was the redevelopment of East 7th Street, which is a major commercial corridor for East Austin as well as a major link between Downtown and the airport. East 7th could become the preferred route from Austin-Bergstrom, serving as the most important gateway to Austin for those visitors arriving by air.

What needs to be done in the next 3 years?

• Increase signage to and between Downtown and adjacent neighborhoods
• Clean up Capital Metro’s property at IH-35 & 4th Street
• Improve bus shelters
• Increase trash cans
• Increase Congress Avenue Dillo service during legislative session
• Develop venues to share neighborhood plans joint planning between neighborhoods and Downtown is nonexistent.
Downtown Community

Achievements

- Creation of the Downtown Austin Community Court
- Improvements in the Austin Downtown Ranger Program: More personnel, expanded role
- Relocation of the Day Labor site
- New Caritas facility
- Improved lighting on East 6th St./Congress Avenue
- Improvements in basic cleanliness with increased litter removal and continuing graffiti removal
- Increase in police resources for quality of life issues such as drug possession and pan handling

Challenges

- Fragmented services
- Lack of affordable housing
- Plans for new homeless facilities on East 7th Street
- Limited police resources/lack of police visibility
- Maintaining full staff of Downtown Rangers
- Ceilings and lighting on the whole still have problem areas
- Problematic nighttime establishments

Discussion

Affordable housing is a problem for all of Austin. In Downtown, this problem should be addressed through a public-private partnership for mixed-income housing in the northeastern Central Business District. An economic development corporation would probably be necessary to achieve the goal of providing some affordable housing in Downtown. Target residents are beginning professionals, food and beverage industry workers, and arts and entertainment industry workers. Opportunities for single room units should be explored. A purchased land by the public sector would probably be needed in order to give a developer enough incentive to build a mixed-income project. Otherwise, high land prices would be prohibitive.

The Austin community needs to create a sobriety center. Currently there is a lack of community support for helping people recover from addictions, especially those of alcohol and drug abuse. Downtown, as the location of most of the existing social service agencies, has a special interest in helping the community find solutions to these problems. In addition, since the problems of substance abuse are frequently coexistent with mental illness, short-falls in state funding for mental illness need to be addressed by Austin as well as by other communities in Texas.

The Austin community needs to continue its emphasis on improving the continuum of care for individuals and families in need. Technology improvements should enable to provide better record-keeping and tracking from detoxification to housing to job placement. An example of the need for better coordination are the ongoing problems of the planned the Austin Resource Center for the Homeless and overnight shelter for single adult males.

While responding to those in the community who need help, Austin, and especially Downtown, needs public order. Enforcement of laws and preservation of an orderly Downtown environment are consistent with providing services for those in need. In many cases, those seeking social services are most vulnerable to the problems caused by a lack of public order. Crime and the perception of public order are still significant problems, most notably in the southeastern portion of Downtown, where development has lagged behind other areas of the Central Business District.

Downtown Austin, like all downtowns, deals with the community’s hardest problems on a daily face-to-face basis. Although these problems are generated by the whole community, Downtown has a special interest in finding solutions. This can only be done through partnerships with the support of the whole community.

What needs to be done in the next 3 years?

- Create affordable housing in Downtown, as well as in all other areas of Austin.
- Establish a Sobriety Center. Work to increase state funding for treating mental illness.
- Improve coordination and accountability of social services.
- Resolve problems of the proposed resource center and adult-male shelter.
- Maintain public order through community policing.
Downtown Smart Growth

Achievements

- Smart Growth Incentives
- Downtown Design Guidelines
- City Hall/CSC Downtown Digital District
- Residential projects—Post Properties, Brown Building Sutton projects
- Uniform Conservation Building Code and other City process reforms
- Neighborhood planning

Downtown Smart Growth Projects completed or under construction are 300 W 6th (CanAmerica), 524 North Lamar, Austin Marketplace Post Wet Avenue Plaza Lofts and the Nokonah condominiums. Smart Growth Matrix Projects pending are the Convention Center Hotel, Four Seasons Residents, and Vignette

In the City Hall District: CSC (three office/retail buildings), AMLI (two residential/retail buildings), new City Hall and Public Plaza, Austin Museum of Art, and the 2nd Street retail district. Adjacent to this is the new Intel software research facility.

Downtown Emerging Civic Projects, the public sector component of Smart Growth, include the Long Center for the Performing Arts and Town Lake Park, new City Hall and Plaza, Austin Convention Center expansion, Austin Museum of Art, Mexican American Cultural Center, and Seaholm Power Plant redevelopment. All of these Smart Growth projects will create 1,185 residential units, 1,265,000 SF of commercial space, 800 hotel rooms, and a total of $513,500,000 in private investment.

Current Downtown City-sponsored design and planning efforts include the Downtown Austin Design Guidelines, the Great Streets Master Plan, the Downtown Access and Mobility Plan, and the Downtown Austin Comprehensive Parking Study. Three years after R/UDAT Revisited, the City’s Smart Growth initiative is visible in a wave of Downtown developments.

What does Smart Growth do for the development community?

Developers like rules such as the Smart Growth Matrix. There are enough risks in any development without unknown or unclear, or seemingly unfair government rules. Public participation and process (zoning hearings, etc.) is welcome given clear rules and consistent policies. A critical mass of residential units must be developed in an urban area, one project won’t do. Urban vitality requires a mix of housing with ground floor retail. Austin should insist on superior urban design and give incentives for doing right. Building elements such as slants, sidewalks, etc. are important to the overall effect. Some rules like the prohibitions of obstructing the Cepid View Corridors must be absolute. Allowances for mixed use are critical for a 24-hour Downtown. Mixed use is not easy for developers. Preserving historic structures keeps a connection with the past. Allow for pedestrian and bicycle traffic.

Downtown and Smart Growth

The Smart Growth program has three tiers of incentives: Zone Specific Incentives, Primary Employers Incentives, and Smart Growth Matrix Incentives. All of these are based on evaluation of potential projects using the Smart Growth matrix. The Smart Growth matrix considers a) contribution to quality of life b) the relationship of land use to transportation modes, and c) urban design characteristics. The matrix is used as the basis for developing possible financial incentive packages.
People should be able to walk to work, in a streetscape that encourages walking with shaded space and pedestrian-friendly storefronts. Residential development is one element of a good Downtown; it needs the supporting elements to really work.

**How the New Economy Fits In**

New Economy companies need to be fast and are under tremendous financial pressure; speed is of the utmost importance. To be attractive, there has to be a downtown district, not just a few scattered locations. Downtown must be thriving in order to compete. The comparative advantage of Downtown versus the suburbs is what’s outside the door. In Downtown, urban vitality creates a stimulating place at all hours. Since building Downtown is more expensive, incentives have to be strong to overcome the difference between urban and suburban locations. In considering incentive packages, there is a major difference between a home grown headquarters and a branch location of a multinational corporation. Austin should court home grown companies.

Downtown’s competitive advantage depends on its vitality—it’s about people. Human capital is most important for new economy companies, and recruiting is the hardest thing. Employers are competing regionally, and a creative work environment is an incentive to potential employees.

What makes a creative environment?

- People who take ownership—so Downtown needs more residential development as a key component of a “Digital Downtown”
- Diversity—Non-tech people shouldn’t be forced out of Downtown. They are part of the Downtown culture that must be preserved.
- Culture—Creative people are interested in schools, libraries, museums, and music. Downtown needs as many cultural facilities as possible. It’s also important to focus on education; many employees have children and will consider the quality of schools.

What needs to be done in the next 3 years?

Learn from the mistakes of Silicon Valley such as the loss of affordability and traffic congestion. Major issues to focus on are:

- Mobility, traffic, and accessibility
- Support the Great Streets Program including funding and inter-agency coordination
- Invest in the public infrastructure of Downtown.
- Remember that we are all the public in “public investment.”
- Livability including cultural and historic resources, education and libraries
- Complete the Willer Creek tunnel.
- Depress IH-35 and re-establish connections to East Austin.
- Affordable including affordable housing
- Develop funding mechanisms for residential development, land prices, street improvements, etc.
- Develop a critical mass of retail, with continuity
- Include retail that supports residential development
- Continue to work on government regulations (e.g., zoning, floor-area ratios, Capitol View Corridors) and processes, which can either encourage or discourage development and affect land prices.
- Create development incentives for the "dead zone" in the northeast quadrant of Downtown.
- Preserve the cultural Downtown Austin.
- Define and strengthen the "heartbeat" of Austin? Is it Congress? East 6th Street? The Warehouse District? The 2nd Street Retail district?
- Work on the relationship between Downtown and near-Downtown areas.
- Establish and work towards a future vision for Congress Avenue, including the dead retail.
- Develop a master plan or vision for Downtown.
- Communicate that clear vision and define the City’s role in achieving that vision.
Downtown Austin has made substantial progress since R/UDAT Revisited. Many pieces of the puzzle are still not in place, but enough are there to see what a great Downtown Austin could be. Besides the remaining challenges, there are some new issues raised by the success of the past three years. Some of these current issues are construction inconvenience, housing affordability, transit capacity demands for higher standards of architectural quality concerns about authenticity and diversity, and the search for better retailing and a richer cultural mix. These are signs that Austin is succeeding in creating a Downtown that attracts people once again.

Many cities are wrestling with these same challenges; they tend to be ones with the most dynamic, most interesting Downtowns. On the whole, these are good problems to have because they are the problems associated with success. They are far preferable to deal with than the problems of decline and failure which were the problems Austin faced in the original 1991 R/UDAT. Downtown Austin is on the right path; the next three years should continue the progress of the past three years, not the stagnation of the previous decade.

Downtown Austin still has significant unrealized potential. This can be seen by examining maps of the existing, projected, and potential tax base in Downtown. The first map shows the blocks that had a valuation of more than $20 million in 1997. There are some, but not many. Even so, Downtown’s small area comprised 5% of the total assessed value in Austin’s tax base.

The second map shows the 2005 projections of Downtown after today’s emerging projects (see map on next page) are completed. The number of high value blocks has increased substantially but there are still many blocks below the threshold. Many of these are not available for development, either because they are publicly owned, or owned by a private, nonprofit entity.

Considering only those blocks that are privately owned by entities other than nonprofit entities, the potential for Downtown—if the low value blocks became high value blocks—is shown on the third map.

Downtown’s tax value will increase 154% between 1997 and 2005. It could, if the current community commitment to Downtown development is maintained, achieve (at least) another 42% growth by 2010.

Downtown’s value to the community is not only economic. It is also cultural, historic, and social. For all of these reasons, Downtown’s continued development contributes to the quality of life of the Austin metropolitan region. R/UDAT, R/UDAT Revisited, and now R/UDAT Review 2000 reflect the community’s concerns for, participation in, and commitment to creating a Great Downtown Austin.
The 2005 Projected Value determined by adding estimated values of Emerging Projects (as shown in map on page 8) to current tax base. No allowance for annual valuation increase has been made.

Potential Value determined by substituting 2000’s median value of all blocks assessed over $20 million ($37,187,500) for current values of underutilized blocks (blocks assessed below $5 million and not predominantly occupied by public or private nonprofit entities).
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